(*g*) whether they would help to secure more aid from the Centre ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Industries).—

(*a*) 1,609
(*b*) All of them.
(*c*) Information is not available.
(*d*) 111.
(*e*) No.
(*f*) Nil.
(*g*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*f*).

School Buildings in Koratagere Taluk.

Q. 443. Sri MUDDURAMIAH (Koratagere-Madhugiri).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of the villages which have deposited amounts for school buildings in Koratagere Taluk:

(*b*) the number of years since the deposits were made ;

(*c*) the steps taken by the Government for the construction of school buildings in those villages ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) (1) Manuvinakurke.
(2) Voddagere.
(*b*) Three years.
(*c*) Necessary action is being taken to get plan and estimate.

Power Supply to Irrigation Pumpsets in Pattanayakanahalli.

Q.—553. Sri B. N. RAME GOWDA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the estimate was sanctioned to supply electric power for lift irrigation to Pattanayakanahalli in Sira Taluk from Sira ;

(*b*) whether the work order is issued ;

(*c*) if so, since how long it is pending ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Industries).—

(*a*) November 1954.
(*b*) No.
(*c*) The scheme is pending execution for over 9 months due to the parties having not come forward with completion reports, etc.

Sithamma High School, Davanagere.

Q.—639. Srimathi BELLARY SIDDAMMA (Davanagere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether teaching work is going on regularly in Sithamma High School at Davanagere ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that there is dearth of women teachers ;

(*c*) the steps taken by them in this behalf ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) Yes.
(*b*) No, except for teachers in Domestic Science and Needle Work.
(*c*) Action has been taken to fill up the vacancies.

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

Re : **(1) Disturbances in Chitaldrug and Tumkur during Sri U. N. Dhebar's Visit.**

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy had given notice of an Adjournment Motion which runs as follows :—

> "This House do now stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence to wit:
>
> The failure of the Government to maintain law and order on 29th October 1955 at Chitaldrug and Tumkur Towns during the Congress President Sri U. N. Dhebar's visit to the said places, resulting in the protagonists including some Mysore Legislative Assembly Members of Mysore retention as a separate political entity being beaten up and manhandled by the goondas."

In speaking on its admissibility he said that the matter was of recent occurrence and such instances were taking place in the presence of the Police who had failed to maintain law

(MR. SPEAKER.)

and order and that the motion was therefore admissible. The Hon'ble Home Minister stated that Government had no information in the matter so far as the incident at Chitaldrug was concerned and that the matters alleged to have taken place at Tumkur were *sub judice*. He also assured the Hon'ble Member Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy and this House that the Government were interested in the maintenance of law and order irrespective of whether the trouble arose from those who advocated one or two Kannada speaking States. As I indicated even yesterday, matters which involve the ordinary administration of law cannot form the subject of an adjournment motion. This point has been repeatedly ruled in this House as well as in other Legislatures in India and elsewhere. Remedies are open to persons affected in courts of law. The matter can also be raised in this House by other means like Short Notice Questions, etc. In any case, matters involving the ordinary administration of law have usually not been permitted to supersede the appointed order of business. I therefore hold the motion as out of order.

---

(2) Alleged Dishonour to National Flag in Mysore City.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received notice of another adjournment motion. That is tabled by Sri U. M Madappa. The adjournment motion is as follows:

> "This House shall stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence, namely, the national dishonour done to the National Flag by tearing it away by some rowdies in Mysore City on 15th November 1955."

May I know from the Government whether they are in possession of any facts concerning this matter?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).—I am in possession of facts. May I place the facts before the Hon'ble House?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—On 15th November 1955 a public meeting was arranged in Mysore in the Town Hall by the sponsors of 'Mysore for Mysore', 'for retaining Mysore as it is' and that meeting was being conducted inside the Town Hall. Outside the Town Hall another meeting was arranged at about 6-45 P.M. by about 25 people consisting of, so far as my report goes, some of them—P. S. P. members. A National Flag was being held by one of them by name Sri Ananthiah. When the meeting was going on inside the Town Hall, these gentlemen who alleged that they were for one Karnataka wanted to enter into the Town Hall. Some trouble by way of verbal altercation was going on and then suddenly somebody cried out that the National Flag was snatched away by someone and it was alleged that that Flag was snatched away and dishonoured. This allegation was made by those that were conducting the meeting for Karnatak. There were two police officers on the spot. It was ascertained that the National Flag was seen by them. It was roughly 2 feet by 4 feet. As soon as that cry was made, there was another counter-cry to the effect that those people who started the procession, namely, 25—themselves removed the flag and then started saying that the Flag was snatched away by the other party. It was actually reported by the officers who were on the spot that when this altercation was going on, somebody in the crowd consisting of these 25 might have taken the Flag. At any rate, nobody observed as to who took the flag, but this is a fact that the flag was neither torn, nor taken, nor dishonoured.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Maddur).—Disappeared!

Sri H.SIDDAVEERAPPA.—After this was over, a thorough investigation was made.

1-30 P.M.

It was reported, and I have no reason to disbelieve the report of my officers, that all those allegations stating that the National Flag was dishonoured or torn or burnt are not true to facts. Sir, it is a very serious allegation to make because in